



Renewal Project Scoring Tool and Detailed Instructions Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Local Competition Funding Year 2021

Published August 10, 2022

Document may be updated as a result of HUD Publications.

Table of Contents

Introduction	2
Scoring Tool	3
Additional Documentation	4
Detailed Instructions	5
Threshold Criteria	5
Recipient and Subrecipient Eligibility	5
Population Eligibility	6
Eligible Activities	7
Matching Requirement	7
HMIS	7
Coordinated Entry	8
Housing First	8
Scoring Elements	9
Project Design	9
Project Outcomes	9
Project Type Specific Scoring	11
CoC Participation and Compliance	13
Bonus Points	14

I. Introduction

The Louisiana Balance of State Continuum of Care (LA BOSCO) is Louisiana's largest coalition to end homelessness. This document is part of a series related to the LA BOSCO's local competition for funding under the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program's Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Funding Year (FY) 2022. For more information, including other documents in this series, please visit the LA BOSCO's website at <https://laboscoc.org/fy22-coc-program-competition>.

The LA BOSCO uses standardized scoring tools and procedures to rate, rank, and select projects submitted for inclusion in its consolidated application to the FY 2022 CoC Program NOFO. This document contains three elements of that process:

- **The Renewal Project Scoring Tool**, which defines the metrics against which renewal projects are assessed;
- **Additional documentation**, which describes additional documents that project applicants must submit to earn points under certain scoring criteria;
- **Detailed instructions** regarding each metric.

The LA BOSCO scores projects according to this document and therefore encourages applicants to incorporate this document into their project design and application creation processes.

All information about the LA BOSCO's local competition, including the scoring tools, will be published to its website at <https://laboscoc.org/fy22-coc-program-competition> and via its email distribution list. [Click here to subscribe to the LA BOSCO's email distribution list.](#)

II. Scoring Tool

Q#	Section	Element Name	Points
1	Project Design	Prioritized Target Population	4
2	Project Outcomes	Intake to Leasing	2
3	Project Outcomes	Exiting to/Maintaining Permanent Housing	12
4	Project Outcomes	Exiting to Shelter/Streets/Unknown	8
5	Project Outcomes	Increased Income	8
6	Project Outcomes	Increased Non-Cash Benefits	8
7	Project Outcomes	Projected Households Served	8
8	Project Outcomes	Bed Utilization Rate	8
9	Project Outcomes	HMIS Data Quality %	10
10	Project Outcomes	Cost Effectiveness	4
11a	Project Type Specific Scoring	Domestic Violence	8
11b	Project Type Specific Scoring	Permanent Supportive Housing	8
11c	Project Type Specific Scoring	Rapid Re-Housing	8
11d	Project Type Specific Scoring	TH-RRH Joint Component	8
11e	Project Type Specific Scoring	Transitional Housing	8
11f	Project Type Specific Scoring	Supportive Services Only	8
12	CoC Participation and Compliance	Funds Utilization	6
13	CoC Participation and Compliance	APR Timeliness	4
14	CoC Participation and Compliance	Funding Draw Timeliness	2
15	CoC Participation and Compliance	Monitoring	4
16	CoC Participation and Compliance	Financial Stability	4
BASE POINTS POSSIBLE			100
a	Bonus Points	Rural Service Area	4
b	Bonus Points	Domestic Violence	4
c	Bonus Points	Staff Sustainability	4
d	Bonus Points	Equity	4
BONUS POINTS POSSIBLE			16

III. Additional Documentation

All projects are required to submit their most recently completed Annual Performance Report (APR) to cpatterson@lhc.la.gov along with their project applications.

Certain scoring elements require projects to submit “additional evidence or attestations.” To fulfill this requirement for a given scoring element, projects should submit either of the following to cpatterson@lhc.la.gov along with their project application:

- Evidence that the requirement was met, with relevant passages highlighted or specified; or,
- A signed letter from an authorized signatory for the organization attesting that the requirement was met.

The following scoring elements require this additional documentation:

Q#	Section	Element Name	Requirement
n/a	Threshold Criteria	HMIS	<i>DV service providers only:</i> the project records and maintains participant data in an HMIS comparable database
11a	Project Type Specific Scoring	Domestic Violence	Participant safety assessment
11b	Project Type Specific Scoring	Permanent Supportive Housing	Percentage of participant households receiving SSI/SSDI, being served by a SOAR case manager, or ineligible to receive SSI/SSDI
11d	Project Type Specific Scoring	TH-RRH Joint Component	Written policies and procedures
11f	Project Type Specific Scoring	Supportive Services Only	Written policies and procedures
14	CoC Participation and Compliance	Funding Draw Timeliness	Funds drawn at least quarterly from LOCCS
16	CoC Participation and Compliance	Financial Stability	Project had no issues or concerns during its most recent audit OR did not meet the single audit requirement threshold
c	Bonus Points	Staff Sustainability	Refer to question for more information
d	Bonus Points	Equity	Refer to question for more information

IV. Detailed Instructions

A. Threshold Criteria

The Renewal Project Scoring Tool includes seven threshold criteria. Projects must meet or fulfill each element of all threshold criteria to be considered for funding.

1. Recipient and Subrecipient Eligibility

Criterion Element	Description
Eligible Organization(s)	The recipient and, as applicable, all subrecipients must be eligible organizations, which are limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Non-profit organizations• Local governments and instrumentalities of local government• Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities• Public housing authorities
Eligible Service Area	The project must propose to serve exclusively parishes within the LA BOSCOG's geography. For a list of parishes, refer to the RFP.

2. Population Eligibility

Each project type is limited to serving certain populations. Those populations are below.

Population Availability/Restriction	PSH	RRH, TH, and TH-RRH	SSO, SSO-CES
Must serve one of the following: (1) People who were eligible for assistance under the project's FY 2022 grant agreement; (2) 100% people who meet the DedicatedPLUS definition; or, (3) 100% people experiencing chronic homelessness.	X		
May serve people who qualify as homeless under paragraphs (1) or (4) of the homeless definition in 24 CFR 578.3, i.e. people experiencing literal homelessness or fleeing domestic violence		X	X
May serve people who qualify as homeless under paragraph (2) of the homeless definition in 24 CFR 578.3, i.e. people at risk of homelessness		X ¹	X
<i>If serving exclusively single person households:</i> may choose to restrict participant intake to a single gender	X	X	X
<i>If serving any multi-person households:</i> must intake participants regardless of their gender	X	X	X

¹ Restrictions apply. For more information, contact cpatterson@lhc.la.gov.

3. Eligible Activities

Each project type is limited to certain activity categories as listed in 24 CFR Part 578, Subpart D.

Those activities are listed below.

Eligible Activity	PSH	RRH, TH, and TH-RRH	SSO, SSO-CES
Leasing	X	X ²	
Rental Assistance	X	X	
Operating Costs	X	X ³	
Supportive Services	X	X	X
HMIS	X	X	X
Administration	X	X	X

4. Matching Requirement

Projects must provide **at least** 25% match for all CoC Program funds (excluding funds provided under the Leasing activity). Match may be either cash or in-kind. Eligible sources of match are defined in 24 CFR 578.73(b).

5. HMIS

Organization Type	Description
Homeless Services Provider	Project must record and maintain participant data in the LA BOSCO's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) database, ServicePoint.
Domestic Violence Services Provider	Project must record and maintain participant data in a HMIS-comparable database.

² TH-RRH only

³ TH-RRH only

6. Coordinated Entry

Each project type is required to engage the LA BOSCO's Coordinated Entry System (CES) in certain ways. Those ways are listed below.

CES Requirement	PSH	RRH, TH, and TH-RRH	SSO, SSO-CES
Must intake participants exclusively through CES	X	X	n/a
<i>If the project is funded to conduct outreach:</i> must provide the Access, Assessment, Diversion, and Rapid Exit elements of CES to project participants	X	X	X
<i>If the project is funded for CES activities:</i> must provide the Access, Assessment, Diversion, and Rapid Exit elements of CES as part of the CoC's CES system			X

7. Housing First

Criterion Element	Description
Project Qualified as Housing First in FY 2021	Project must continue to answer "Yes" under project Question TBD when HUD publishes applications in e-snaps.
Project Did Not Qualify as Housing First in FY 2021	Project must, at minimum, check the same boxes in project Questions TBD when HUD publishes applications in e-snaps.

B. Scoring Elements

Projects receive points for each of the following scoring elements. Scoring elements may have one or multiple measures under which points are awarded.

1. Project Design

Scoring Element	Total Points	Points Breakdown
1. Prioritized Target Populations	4	<p>4 Points: target population includes at least one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People experiencing chronic homelessness • Veterans • Youth (aged 18-24)

2. Project Outcomes

Scoring Element	Total Points	Points Breakdown								
2. Intake to Leasing	2	<p>Average days between participant project entry and participant lease-up.</p> <table> <tr> <td>PSH</td> <td>RRH or TH-RRH</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Points: <= 60 days</td> <td>2 Points: < = 30 days</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 Point: <= 100 days</td> <td>1 Point: < = 90 days</td> </tr> </table> <p><i>Note: Project applicants can expect to see this metric weighted more heavily in the future.</i></p>	PSH	RRH or TH-RRH	2 Points: <= 60 days	2 Points: < = 30 days	1 Point: <= 100 days	1 Point: < = 90 days		
PSH	RRH or TH-RRH									
2 Points: <= 60 days	2 Points: < = 30 days									
1 Point: <= 100 days	1 Point: < = 90 days									
3. Exiting to/Maintaining Permanent Housing	12	<p>Percentage of participant households during the last complete project performance period that for:</p> <p>PSH exited to permanent housing or remained in the project or RRH exited to permanent housing only:</p> <table> <tr> <td>PSH</td> <td>RRH or TH-RRH</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8 Points: 90%+</td> <td>8 Points: 70%+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 Points: 70%+</td> <td>5 Points: 60%+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Points: 50%+</td> <td>2 Points: 50%+</td> </tr> </table>	PSH	RRH or TH-RRH	8 Points: 90%+	8 Points: 70%+	5 Points: 70%+	5 Points: 60%+	2 Points: 50%+	2 Points: 50%+
PSH	RRH or TH-RRH									
8 Points: 90%+	8 Points: 70%+									
5 Points: 70%+	5 Points: 60%+									
2 Points: 50%+	2 Points: 50%+									

4. Exiting to Shelter/ Streets/Unknown	8	<p>Percentage of participant households that exited to an emergency shelter, the streets, another place not meant for human habitation, or an unknown location during the last complete project performance period:</p> <p>8 Points: <= 5%</p> <p>5 Points: <= 10%</p> <p>2 Points: <= 15%</p>																
5. Increased Income at Exit	8	<p>Percentage of participant households that exited the project during the last complete project performance period that increased their employment income by any amount since intake or most recent annual evaluation:</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="605 709 1242 934"> <tr> <td>PSH</td> <td>RRH or TH-RRH</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 Points: 15%+</td> <td>4 Points: 20%+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Points: 10%+</td> <td>2 Points: 15%+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 Points: 5%+</td> <td>1 Points: 10%+</td> </tr> </table> <p>increased their non-employment income by any amount since intake or most recent annual evaluation:</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="605 1087 1242 1312"> <tr> <td>PSH</td> <td>RRH or TH-RRH</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 Points: 40%+</td> <td>4 Points: 30%+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Points: 30%+</td> <td>2 Points: 20%+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 Points: 20%+</td> <td>1 Points: 10%+</td> </tr> </table>	PSH	RRH or TH-RRH	4 Points: 15%+	4 Points: 20%+	2 Points: 10%+	2 Points: 15%+	1 Points: 5%+	1 Points: 10%+	PSH	RRH or TH-RRH	4 Points: 40%+	4 Points: 30%+	2 Points: 30%+	2 Points: 20%+	1 Points: 20%+	1 Points: 10%+
PSH	RRH or TH-RRH																	
4 Points: 15%+	4 Points: 20%+																	
2 Points: 10%+	2 Points: 15%+																	
1 Points: 5%+	1 Points: 10%+																	
PSH	RRH or TH-RRH																	
4 Points: 40%+	4 Points: 30%+																	
2 Points: 30%+	2 Points: 20%+																	
1 Points: 20%+	1 Points: 10%+																	
6. Increased Non-Cash Benefits	8	<p>Percentage of participant households that exited the project during the last complete project performance period and that increased the number of non-cash benefits received by any amount since intake or most recent annual evaluation:</p> <p>8 Points: 30%+</p> <p>5 Points: 20%+</p> <p>2 Points: 10%+</p>																
7. Projected Households Served	8	<p>Total number of households served during the last complete project performance period as a percentage of the total number of households proposed to be served during that period:</p> <p>8 Points: At or above 90%</p>																

		<p>5 Points: Between 85% and 89%</p> <p>2 Points: Between 80% and 84%</p>
8. Bed Utilization Rate	8	<p>Total number of beds occupied during the last complete project performance period, averaged over four quarters, as a percentage of the total number of beds proposed to be occupied during that period:</p> <p>8 Points: At or above 95%</p> <p>5 Points: Between 90% and 94%</p> <p>2 Points: Between 85% and 89%</p>
9. HMIS Data Quality	10	<p>HMIS data quality as reported on the project's most recent Annual Performance Report (APR), OR project is a DV services provider:</p> <p>10 Points: 95%+ OR project is a DV services provider</p> <p>5 Points: 90%+</p> <p>2 Points: 85%+</p>
10. Cost Effectiveness	4	<p>Cost effectiveness is a function of how much the project costs per positive outcome. "Positive outcomes" are defined here as participants who exited to or remained in the project during/at the end of its last complete performance period.</p> <p>Each project's cost effectiveness value (CEV) is equal to (Total CoC Program Funds Spent - Administrative Costs Budget Line) ÷ (Total Participants Exited to/Maintained Permanent Housing).</p> <p>4 Points: CEV <= \$8,500</p> <p>3 Points: CEV <= \$9,000</p> <p>1 Point: CEV <= \$9,500</p>

3. Project Type Specific Scoring

Each project is scored using one and only one of the following elements according to its project type or, if the project primarily serves people fleeing domestic violence, using the Domestic Violence scoring element.

Scoring Element	Total Points	Points Breakdown
11a. Domestic Violence	8	<p>Percentage of participant households whose safety improved during the last complete project performance period.</p> <p>To receive points under this element, projects must assess participant safety at exit and submit evidence or an attestation thereof as part of their response to the FY 2022 CoC Program RFP. Projects are encouraged to consult with the Louisiana Coalition Against Domestic Violence (LCADV) to determine the most appropriate way to conduct this assessment</p> <p>8 Points: 90%+</p> <p>5 Points: 85%+</p> <p>2 Points: 80%+</p>
11b. Permanent Supportive Housing	8	<p>Percentage of participant households who secured, made progress toward securing, or were barred from securing SSI/SSDI during the last complete project performance period.</p> <p>To receive points under this element, projects must assess what percentage of participant households served during the specified period met any of the following criteria and submit evidence or an attestation thereof as part of their response to the FY 2022 CoC Program RFP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Household is receiving income from SSI/SSDI; ● Household is actively being served by a SOAR-trained case manager; ● Household is not eligible to receive SSI/SSDI. (<i>Note:</i> this does not include households that have been denied SSI/SSDI due to curable deficiencies in their application.) <p>8 Points: <= 5%</p> <p>5 Points: <= 10%</p> <p>2 Points: <= 15%</p>
11c. Rapid Re-Housing	8	<p>Average days between participant entry and participant exit during the last complete project performance period.</p> <p>8 Points: <= 270 days</p> <p>6 Points: <= 300 days</p> <p>4 Points: <= 360 days</p>

		2 Points: <= 390 days
11d. TH-RRH Joint Component	8	<p>Project has written policies and procedures for delivering all services outlined in its project application, including clear distinctions between its transitional housing and rapid re-housing components and a process for moving between them.</p> <p>To receive points under this element, projects must submit their applicable policies and procedures as part of their response to the FY 2022 CoC Program RFP.</p> <p>8 Points: project policies and procedures includes all specified elements</p> <p>4 Points: project policies and procedures includes some but not all specified elements</p>
11e. Transitional Housing	8	<p>Average days between participant entry and participant exit during the last complete project performance period.</p> <p>8 Points: <= 180 days</p> <p>6 Points: <= 360 days</p> <p>4 Points: <= 540 days</p> <p>2 Points: <= 720 days</p>
11f. Supportive Services Only	8	<p>Project has written policies and procedures for delivering all services outlined in its project application and, as applicable, in the most recent version of the LA BOSCOG Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures.</p> <p>To receive points under this element, projects must submit their applicable policies and procedures as part of their response to the FY 2022 CoC Program RFP.</p> <p>8 Points: project policies and procedures includes all specified elements</p> <p>4 Points: project policies and procedures includes some but not all specified elements</p>

4. CoC Participation and Compliance

Scoring Element	Total Points	Points Breakdown
12. Funds Utilization	6	<p>Percentage of grant funds spent as compared to the total amount of grant funds awarded during the last complete project performance period.</p> <p>6 Points: 95%+</p> <p>4 Points: 90%+</p> <p>2 Point: 85%+</p>
13. APR Timeliness	4	<p>4 Points: project submitted its APR to the LA BOSCOG for review within 60 days of the last complete project performance period's end date AND project submitted its APR to HUD within 90 days of the last complete project performance period's end date</p> <p>2 Points: project submitted its APR to HUD within 90 days of the last complete project performance period's end date</p>
14. Funding Draw Timeliness	2	<p>To receive points under this element, projects must submit evidence or an attestation that satisfies the scoring element criterion.</p> <p>2 Points: funds were drawn at least quarterly from LOCCS during the last complete project performance period</p>
15. Monitoring	4	<p>4 Points: project has no Findings outstanding from its calendar year 2022 LA BOSCOG monitoring, OR project has not yet been monitored in calendar year 2022</p>
16. Financial Stability	4	<p>To receive points under this element, projects must submit evidence or an attestation that satisfies the scoring element criterion.</p> <p>4 Points: project's organization had no issues or concerns during its most recently completed financial audit, OR project did not meet the single audit requirement threshold</p>

5. Bonus Points

Scoring Element	Total Points	Points Breakdown
a. Rural Service Area	4	<p>For the purposes of this scoring element, all parishes are considered rural except Calcasieu, East Baton Rouge, and Houma.</p> <p>4 Points: 100% of project's beds are located in rural parishes</p> <p>2 Points: 50%+ of project's beds are located in rural parishes</p>
b. Domestic Violence	4	<p>4 Points: 100% of project's beds are dedicated to victims of domestic violence</p>
c. Staff Sustainability	4	<p>To receive points under this element, projects must submit evidence or an attestation that satisfies the scoring element criteria.</p> <p>For the purposes of this scoring element, "all staff" refers to all staff whose costs are paid for in any portion by the project.</p> <p>Projects receive 2 points if all staff are paid at least \$15/hour.</p> <p>Projects receive 1 point if all staff either receive health insurance through their employer or receive payments intended to defray the cost of purchasing health insurance.</p> <p>Projects receive 1 point if all staff receive at least 120 hours of paid leave per year (combined personal leave and sick leave excluding holidays) prorated to each staff person's Full Time Equivalent (FTEs).</p>
d. Equity	4	<p>To receive points under this element, projects must submit evidence or an attestation that satisfies the scoring element criteria.</p> <p>To qualify, the actions below must have been taken within the last three years.</p> <p>Projects receive 1 point if they assessed whether black, indigenous, and other people of color (BIPOC) face barriers to equitably accessing or receiving services in their project.</p> <p>Projects receive 1 point if they have addressed or have made a</p>

		<p>written commitment to addressing BIPOC barriers identified above, OR no barriers were identified in the assessment above.</p> <p>Projects receive 1 point if they assessed whether lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans, queer, and other people whose genders or sexualities are heteronormative (LGBTQ+) people face barriers to equitably accessing or receiving services in their project.</p> <p>Projects receive 1 point if they have addressed or have made a written commitment to addressing LGBTQ+ barriers identified above, OR no barriers were identified in the assessment above.</p>
--	--	---